Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/599,955	WHITEHEAD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MEKONEN BEKELE	2624	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>MEKONEN BEKELE</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>MR. JOHN CARPENTER (Reg. No. 39129)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 16 May 2012.			
Type:	applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.		
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)			
Claim(s) discussed: 1,33 and 34.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .			
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc) On 05/16/2012 Examiner called and suggested the Applicant's representative to amend claims 1,33 and 34 for			
condition of allowance. The Applicant's representative agreed to the amendement, and subsequently submitted an			
<u>authorization document</u> of examiner amendment through e	eDAN.		
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.			
Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.			
☐ Attachment			

Application No.

Applicant(s)